

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

	/			
APPLICATION NO.	, FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/359,523	07/23/1999	TINKU ACHARYA	INTL-0237-US	2927
759	90 11/19/2003		EXAM	INER
TIMOTHY N TROP			WU, DOROTHY	
TROP PRUNER	R HU & MILES PC	•		
8554 KATY FREEWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 100			2615	/
HOUSTON, TX	X 77024			. 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. *Advisory Action	09/359,523	ACHARYA ET AL.				
Ž	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dorothy Wu	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic ) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in nths after the mailing date of the final reje	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action, or ction, even if timely filed,	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	,				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•					
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		idered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	)⊠ will be entered ow or appended?	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	(See as	LINCHER ORAL	a Merran)			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <sub>-</sub>	·				
10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/359,523

Art'.Unit: 2615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 22, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant has argued: "Neither Takakura nor Tamura teaches or suggests modifying values in a look-up table based on computed white color balance and values. In this manner, the gain control circuits 11B and 11R of Tamura generate correction offsets in response to the signal received from the D/A converters 10B and 10R. However, Tamura neither teaches nor suggests that the values furnished by the gain control circuits 11B and 11R are based on values stored by the gain control circuits 11B and 11R." The examiner respectfully disagrees. Tamura teaches up/down counters 8A and 8B that count up or down when the adjusted color difference signals are below or above threshold voltages V<sub>TL</sub> and V<sub>TH</sub>, respectively. The D/A converters 10R and 10B provide analog control signals corresponding to the count on counters 8R and 8B to respective gain-control circuits 11R and 11B (col. 4, lines 25-54). It is well-known in the art that up/down counters operate by incrementing or decrementing existing values. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that the gain-control circuits 11R and 11B access their stored values, receive the increment/decrement controls from the D/A converters 10R and 10B, and adjust the values accordingly, thereby teaching that the values furnished by the gain control circuits 11B and 11R are based on values stored by the gain control circuits 11B and 11R.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the

Application/Control Number: 09/359,523

Art Unit: 2615

teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Takakura teaches a level detector that accesses particular readout addresses in the table memory based upon the level detected (Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the look-up tables of Takakura in the white balance correction apparatus of Tamura to make a white balance correction apparatus that iteratively corrects white balance gains according to the levels detected. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to make such a modification to store and retrieve white balance gains for different levels of RGB instead of recalculating every gain afresh when a new value is inputted.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dorothy Wu whose telephone number is 703-305-8412. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on 703-308-7644.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Application/Control Number: 09/359,523

Art Unit: 2615

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703)306-0377.

Donothy We

DW

November 18, 2003

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 4